



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,387	11/20/2003	Eldad Zeira	I-2-0422.1US	9731
24374 VOLPE AND 1	7590 KOENIG, P.C.		EXAMINER	
DEPT. ICC			CHO, UN C	
30 SOUTH 17	ZA, SUITE 1600 TH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/718,387	ZEIRA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Un C. Cho	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,15,18 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,15,18 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 5, 15, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voyer (US 6,957,070 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Voyer discloses (a) assigning a first time slot to a first communication station (dynamically allocating transmission resources between a base station and a plurality of mobile terminals; Voyer: Col. 3, lines 38 - 41); (b) a second communication station determining a slot assignment rank for the first communication station by comparing a first combined score (characteristic quantity) generated based on a signal interference (measuring interference level; Voyer: Col. 3, lines 56 - 59), code usage (determining code usage so that not yet allocated codes can be used; Voyer: Col. 3, lines 60 - 67) or channel spread values (measuring propagation loss; Voyer: Col. 4, lines 1 - 9) associated with the first communication station to other combined scores associated with other respective communication stations (characteristic quantity is compared with a threshold and an appropriate allocation method is determined; Voyer: Col. 5, lines 9 - 11); and (c) the second communication station assigning a second time slot to the first communication station based on the slot assignment rank

Application/Control Number: 10/718,387

Art Unit: 2617

(dynamically assigning resources based on slot index; see Fig. 4; Voyer: Col. 4, lines 52 – 62).

Voyer as applied above does not specifically disclose determining a slot assignment rank based on a sum of weighted signal interference, code usage and channel spread values. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rank time slots based on combined characteristics rather than allocating resources based on just one parameter in order to optimize resources in dynamic allocation.

Regarding claim 3, Voyer as applied above discloses (d) prioritizing a plurality of wireless transmit/receive units currently communicating via a communication network (Voyer: Col. 4, lines 38 – 41); and (e) assigning each of the plurality of WTRUs a slot assignment based upon the slot assignment rank (Voyer: Col. 4, lines 52 – 62).

Regarding claim 4, Voyer as applied above discloses wherein the first communication station is a base station and the second communication station is a wireless transmit/receive unit (Voyer: Col. 2, lines 21 – 26).

Regarding claim 5, Voyer as applied above discloses wherein the first communication station is a wireless transmit/receive unit and the second communication station is a base station (Voyer: Col. 2, lines 21 – 26).

Regarding claims 15, 18 and 21, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 - 5, 15, 18 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C. Cho whose telephone number is (571) 272-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,387

Art Unit: 2617

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Un C Cho Examiner Art Unit 2617 11/9/07 Le

GEORGE ENG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER